RECEIVED by MSC 4/14/2020 10:16:50 PM

appeals@kentcountymi.gov<appeals@kentcountymi.gov>,
beasonjohn@yahoo.com<beasonjohn@yahoo.com>, Stacey Smith<androgenxalon@att.net>,

ELECTRONIC PROOF OF SERVICE FOR SUBMISSION OF LETTER TO MSC FOR MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT CASE NO.: 161058.

MR. STACEY R. SMITH
PRO SE INFORMA PAUPERIS.
855 KALAMAZOO AVE SE
GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN 49507.
616-350-5709.

A LETTER TO THE MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT.

MSC CASE NO.: 161058.
MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT.
925 W. OTTAWA ST.
LANSING, MICHIGAN 48915.
517-373-0120.

KENT COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE.
ATTENTION: LEAD PROSECUTOR CHRISTOPHER R. BECKER.
SUITE NO.: 450.
82 IONIA AVE NW.
GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN 49503.
616-632-4710.

LAW OFFICES OF ATTORNEY JOHN R. BEASON.
SUITE NO.: 530.
15 IONIA AVE NW.
GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN 49503.
616-458-3791.

ALERT TO THE MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT!!!
DUE TO THE CORONAVIRUS, MY OFFICE HAS RESTRICTED ALL ACCESS TO ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS AND PAPER OFFICE SUPPLIES, TOWHERE THE ONLY WHY FOR ME TO SATISFY PROOF OF SERVICE AT THIS TIME IS THROUGH ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION; PLEASE FURTHER ADVISE. I HAVE REMITTED A PDF FILE WHICH IS COMPRISED OF MY RECENT HIRE INTO FEDEX EXPRESS; HOWEVER PLACED ON SUSPENSION BECAUSE OF THIS CHARGE TO BE RESOLVED. PROOF THAT THIS BREACH OF PLEA AGREEMENT HAS CAUSED AN INJUSTICE.

MR. STACEY R. SMITH.
855 KALAMAZOO AVE SE
GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN 49507.
616-350-5709.

MSC CASE NO.: 161058.
MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT.
925 W. OTTAWA ST.
LANSING, MICHIGAN 48915.
517-373-0120.

REFERENCE: A LETTER TO THE MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT.

APRIL 14, 2020.

In accordance with the Police DVD that I would like to submit to this Honorable Court. I am aware that I am required to provide 5 copies to the Supreme Court. I would also point out the Police NARRATIVE in the police report that the Wyoming Police Department provided which had been construed by Detective Phillip Ernest Swiercz who is also the same individual that construed the falsified Probable Cause for Felony Complaint with the 62A District Court to establish the falsified charge of MCL 750.520 (C) (1) (H).

Also, This case had also been supported by only hearsay along with the, per say, self – incriminating statement that Detective Swiercz applied in the Felony Complaint alleging that I admitted to the Charges when I did Not. (Please refer to the Itemization of the police interview while viewing the police video.

SUMMATION: This case along with it being riddled with inconsistencies that ALL OF YOU, BIENDG THE COURTS AND JUDGES THEMSELVES WHILE I AM UNDER A BREACH OF THE 17TH CIRCUIT PLEA AGREEMENT (WITHOUT REMEDY)!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

The victim also admitted in the police report that he was not hurt from the FALSE ALLEGATIONS from Detective Swiercz, which would mean, THAT THERE WAS NO CASE!!

NO PHYSICAL EVIDENCE: Defense Counsel motioned for discovery to uncover the BLANK DVD THAT DETECTIVE Swiercz had during the Police Interview, to where Prosecution intentionally ignored the motion and had not been challenge by HON.: GEROGE S. BUTH P-11479.

SECONDLY:

NO ONE CAME FORTH OR HAD BEEN REPORTED THAT THEY ACTUALLY WITNESSED ME COMMIT THE CRIME WHILE DETECTIVE SWIERCZ ALLEGED THAT HE HAD A DVD FROM A NANNY CAM WHICH WAS BLANK AND WAS USED TO CURTAIL MY FREEDOM BY NOT PRODUCING IT BY PROSECUTION DURING MY DEFENSE COUNSEL'S DISCOVERY MOTION AND INSTEAD MY DEFENSE COUNSEL WAIVED THE PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE IN DISTRICT COURT VIOLATION MCR 6.201 (J).

THIRDLY:

The Michigan Supreme Court is certain to dismiss this appeal WITH THE KNOWLEDGE THAT CASE NO.: 14-11012-FH of the 17^{TH} JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT IS IN DIRECT BREACH OF ITS OWN PLEA AGREEMENT AND THE MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT PLANS TO ALLOW THIS TO STAND.

This is also proof of the disparage that the courts themselves created and further abuse the PLAINTIFF, IN RE, to set in ongoing destruction for the rest of my life WITH MALICE. The most resent employer with FedEx Express to where I actually cleared a federal background check and the background check through Fedex Express; however, the Military Clearance through the U.S.P.S., to which Fedex Express is required to run because of the company having a contract with the postal Service.

This was all by design to continue the ruining of my life further that what it already has.

Respectfully submitted:

/s/ MR. STACEY R. SMITH: ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE FOR MSC CASE NO.: 161058.